

ISSN : 2309-3234

DOI : 10.62948

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

Volume 25, Issue 01, January 2026

Contents

Editorial

- ♦ From Immunohistochemistry to Molecular Testing for the Precision Cancer Management of Breast Cancer. 07-09
Rahman DA, Akter S.

Original Article

- ♦ Immunohistochemistry-Based Molecular Subtype Distribution of Invasive Breast Cancer and Its Clinicopathological Correlates in a Bangladeshi Cohort. 11-23
Rahman DA, Habib AA, Mazid A, Akter S, Ahmed F, Benzir M, Sakib MN, Rahman MT, Alamgir MH.
- ♦ Students' Perception of the Educational Environment Using the Dundee Ready Education Environment Measure (DREEM) at TMSS Medical College, Bogura, Bangladesh. 25-36
Habib MA, Hoque SMM, Ansari AAM, Tasnim T, Arzuman H.
- ♦ Menstrual Disorders and Relationship with Psychological Stress among Medical Students 37-43
Zohura FT, Rahman F, Jahan R, Alam MM, Ferdous R.
- ♦ Prognostic Significance of COL10A1 Overexpression in Breast Cancer Patients from Different Sociodemographic Backgrounds. 44-51
Roy NR, Das D, Hoque F, Jannat T, Tasnim A.
- ♦ Resource-Optimized PRP: A Comparative Study of Anticoagulants and Centrifugation Techniques in Bangladesh. 53-60
Hoque MA, Masud MAA, Basak SK, Mamun AA.
- ♦ Clinical Presentation and Culture Yield of Urinary Tract Infection Among Symptomatic Pregnant Women: A Tertiary Hospital Experience. 61-68
Sultana T, Akhther MH, Mahamuduzzaman AS, Shireen F, Parvin MR.



An Official Publication of TMSS Medical College



TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

January 2026

An Official Publication
of
TMSS Medical College, Bogura, Bangladesh

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

Volume 25, Issue. 01, January 2026

An Official Publication of TMSS Medical College, Bogura, Bangladesh

Advisory Board

Prof. Dr. Hosne-Ara Begum
 Prof. Dr. Jawadul Haque
 Prof. Dr. Sayeba Akhter
 Prof. Dr. M. Afzal Hossain
 Prof. Dr. M. Aminul Islam
 Prof. Dr. Shamima Parvin Lasker

Editorial Board

Chairperson : Prof. Dr. Md. Zakir Hossain
Editor-in-Chief : Prof. Dr. Md. Shahjahan Ali Sarker
Associate Editor : Prof. Dr. Md. Abdul Mazid
Advisory Editor : Prof. Dr. Moudud Hossain Alamgir
Managing Editor : Dr. Md. Matiur Rahman

Local Members

Prof. Dr. A.K.M Ahsan Habib
 Prof. Dr. Md. Azfarul Habib
 Prof. Dr. Md. Rafiqul Islam
 Prof. Dr. Md. Anup Rahman Choudhury
 Prof. Dr. Nur-A-Farhana Islam
 Prof. Dr. Nahida Akther Zahan
 Prof. Dr. Tania Yeasmin
 Prof. Dr. Sultana Razia
 Prof. Dr. Shamim Ahmed Choudhury
 Prof. Dr. Md. Amirul Islam
 Dr. D. M Arifur Rahman
 Dr. Fahim Uddin Ahmad
 Dr. Md. Ahamedul Kabir

International Members

Prof. Dr. Dhananjaya Sharma
 Prof. Dr. Anurag Srivastava
 Prof. Dr. Shariq Rashid Mosoodi
 Prof. Olav Muurlink
 Prof. Dr. Paul Mailwaring
 Prof. Dr. Md. Faizus Sazzad
 Prof. Soraj Hongladarom
 Prof. Ravichandran Moorthy
 Prof. Darryl Macer
 Prof. Dr. Hasan Erbay

The TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) is published two times a year (January and July). The TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) is approved by Bangladesh Medical & Dental Council (BM&DC/4-D-2016/1478: Dated 15.01.2017). It accepts original articles, review articles and case reports. While every effort is always made by the Editorial Board and the members of the Journal Committee to avoid inaccurate or misleading information appearing in the TMSS Medical College Journal, information within the individual article are the responsibility of its author(s). The TMSS Medical College Journal, its Editorial Board and Journal Committee accept no liability whatsoever for the consequences of any such inaccurate and misleading information, opinion or statement.

Address of Correspondence

Editor-in-Chief, TMSS Medical College Journal, TMSS Medical College
 Rangpur Road, Thengamara, Bogura, Bangladesh. Phone: 01775-316617
 e-mail: editortmcjournal@gmail.com, Web: www.tmcjournal.org, www.tmssmedicalcollege.com

Printed by: TMSS Printing Press, Bogura, Bangladesh. e-mail: tmssprintingpress@gmail.com

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) follows the guidelines and principles of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors- ICMJE (www.icmje.org) and the COPE (www.publicationethics.org). Papers are accepted for publication with an understanding that they are submitted solely to the journal of 'TMSS Medical College Journal' and are subject to peer review and editorial revision. The statement, views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of the editor or publisher.

General Instructions

- a. Article must be within 5 years of research work.
- b. Authors of research work should be of relevant fields.
- c. Manuscript must be in English, Use Times New Roman 11 sized font.
- d. Type manuscripts double-spaced, on a good quality A4 sized paper, including references, figures with legends and tables on one side of the page only.
- e. Leave a margin of 05 cm on all sides of the page. Beginning with abstract page, all the pages (including pages containing tables, figures and references) will contain page numbers at the lower right-hand corner.
- f. Cite each reference in text in numerical order and list in the reference section.
- g. Begin each component of the manuscript with a new page in the sequence of (i) Title page with author information, name of the department(s) and institutions to which the work should be attributed, name and address of the author with post code responsible for correspondence and requests for reprints (ii) Abstract page (iii) Text (iv) References (v) Tables (vi) Figures with legends, for illustrations.
- h. Present the text in the sequence of Introduction, Methods & Materials, Results and Discussion.
- i. Use SI units of measurements (for blood pressure use mm of Hg).
- j. Define Statistical methods in method section of the paper.
- k. Use standard abbreviations, the full forms of which should precede in its first use in the text
- l. As a general rule, articles should not exceed 10-12 pages. Too much large volume manuscripts will not be accepted for publication.

Submission process

With two copies of manuscript (hard copy) directly or by courier or postal services to Editor-in-chief, TMSS Medical College Journal and/or A soft copy of Manuscript (MS Word) must be sent to editortmcjournal@gmail.com with scanned copy of all desired documents. Online submission will be possible through <https://www.tmcjournal.org>

Covering letter

Article must be accompanied by a covering letter, signed by all authors, containing statements that they are the only authors, The article represents original work and we take full responsibility for the information provided, the Manuscript has not been published elsewhere, accepted for publication elsewhere or under editorial review for publication elsewhere by any other journal. All copyright ownership of the manuscript will be transferred to the publishers of the TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) once the article is accepted for publication in the journal. They must declare that they made the manuscript plagiarism free. On submission of the manuscript, the authors agree not to withdraw their manuscript at any stage prior to publication. Acknowledgements, Conflicts of interest & Funding are clearly mentioned, if any.

Author's contribution

In case of multiple authors, need an author contribution certificate (or statement) about specific contribution of each author in the study and the manuscript has been seen and approved as well as the order of authors in the manuscript.

Ethical Issues

Author must submit a copy of clearance certificate from an Institutional Ethical Review Board (IERB) or Institutional review Board (IRB) or Ethical Committee or from any authorized body along with the manuscript & mention the memo number within bracket in the methodology section while describing ethical issues.

Title page with author information (first page, but do not number)

- a. Full title of the article not exceeding 50 characters with three to five key words for use as indexing terms and as running title for use on top of the text pages.
- b. Author's names, highest academic degree, affiliations, and complete address for correspondence including Telephone/Mobile number, and e-mail address.

Abstract page (First numbered page)

- a. Do not cite references in the abstract. Be concise (250 words, maximum).
- b. Limit use of acronyms and abbreviations. Abbreviations must be defined at the first mention.
- c. The abstract should be a total of about 250 words maximum. Abstract should cover Background and Purpose (description of rationale for study); Methods (brief description of methods); Results (presentation of significant results); and Conclusion (succinct statement of data interpretation) in separate headings.

The Text/Main body

The following are typical main headings:

Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion

- a. Introduction: Summarize the rationale for the study with pertinent references. The purpose(s) of the study should be clearly stated.
- b. Materials and Methods: Identify type of study and describe the study subjects and methods used. Provide methods of statistical analysis, Cite reference(s) for standard study and statistical methods. Describe new or modified methods. Identify apparatus (with name and address of manufacturer) used. Generic names of drugs must be given. Manuscripts that describe studies on humans must indicate that the study was approved by an Institutional Ethical Committee and that the subjects gave informed consent. Add statistical analysis.
- c. Results: Present only important results/observations in logical sequence in the text, tables or illustrations with relevant statistics.
- d. Discussion: Emphasize new and important results and the conclusions that follow including implications and limitations. Relate observations to other relevant studies.
- e. References: References must be listed following the Vancouver style. These should be numbered consecutively in the order in which they are first mentioned in the text as superscript number. Number of references should be kept below 40 except review article. All authors must be listed if less than six. Use et al, if more.
- f. Table & Figures: Tables & figures should be placed within the text of the manuscript. Number of tables & figures consecutively in the order of their first citation in the text. Tables should not duplicate in the text of the article and avoid internal horizontal & vertical rules. Total number of tables & figures must not exceed 10.

Original Article**Clinical Presentation and Culture Yield of Urinary Tract Infection Among Symptomatic Pregnant Women: A Tertiary Hospital Experience**Sultana T^{1*}, Akhther MH², Mahamuduzzaman AS³, Shireen F⁴, Parvin MR⁵

1. Dr. Touhida Sultana, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College, Bogura.
2. Dr. Mst. Hasina Akhther, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College, Bogura.
3. Dr. Fahmida Shireen, Junior Consultant, Upazila Health Complex, Gobindaganj, Gaibandha.
4. Dr. Abu Shoyeb Md. Mahamuduzzaman, Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College, Dhaka.
5. Dr. Most. Runa Parvin, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College, Bogura.

Corresponding Author*Abstract**

Background: Urinary tract infection is a significant issue during pregnancy. Clinical symptoms can overlap with normal pregnancy-related changes. Therefore, lab confirmation is crucial. Aim of the study: This study aimed to assess the clinical presentation and urine culture results of UTI among symptomatic pregnant women. It also aimed to describe dipstick findings, isolated organisms, and patterns of antimicrobial susceptibility.

Material and Methods: This cross-sectional descriptive study included 105 symptomatic pregnant women who visited the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College Hospital from January 2024 to December 2024. Researchers collected clinical and obstetric data. Urine samples underwent dipstick testing, culture, identification of organisms, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Data analysis utilized SPSS version 15.0. Fisher's exact test assessed the association between dipstick and culture findings.

Results: Of the 105 symptomatic pregnant women, 87.6% were under 25 years old, and the average gestational age was 24.67 ± 4.79 weeks. The most common symptoms were urinary frequency (98.1%) and burning sensation during urination (71.4%). Urine culture was positive in 6.7% of participants, with *Escherichia coli* found in all culture-positive cases. Dipstick positivity showed a significant association with culture-confirmed UTI ($p < 0.001$). All *E. coli* isolates were sensitive to nitrofurantoin, 71.4% were sensitive to cephalexin, and only 14.3% were sensitive to amoxicillin.

Conclusion: While urinary symptoms were common, only 6.7% of pregnant women had culture-confirmed UTI. *Escherichia coli* was the only isolated organism, showing the highest sensitivity to nitrofurantoin and considerable resistance to amoxicillin. Urine culture and susceptibility testing are vital for accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment.

Keywords: Urinary tract infection, Pregnancy, *Escherichia coli*, Urine culture, Dipstick test, Antimicrobial susceptibility.

Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is an important bacterial infection in pregnancy due to physiological and anatomical factors which promote ascending infection: dilatation of the ureters, reduced tone of the ureters, urinary stasis and incomplete bladder emptying. Infection can be either silent or symptomatic, and severe symptomatic infections usually have signs of dysuria (difficulty voiding), urinary frequency and urgency, suprapubic discomfort, fever, loin pain, nausea, or vomiting. Several of these manifestations may mimic normal pregnancy symptoms, so clinical

evaluation alone may not be enough to establish the diagnosis and laboratory evaluation is especially crucial.¹⁻⁴ UTIs are a significant public-health problem worldwide, with an estimated 404.6 million cases in the general population in 2019, and pregnancy-specific prevalence rates are highly variable depending on the population, definition of UTI and setting in which the healthcare is provided.⁵ In Latin America, a systematic review of the literature found that the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria was 18.39%, the prevalence of lower UTI was 7.54%, and the prevalence of

pyelonephritis was 2.34% in more than 111,000 pregnant women.¹ The situation is also highly variable geographically within developing nations of Africa and Asia, with aggregated evidence suggesting some particularly high burdens in several low and middle income countries.⁶ A meta-analysis also showed significant heterogeneity for both symptomatic UTI and asymptomatic bacteriuria within Iran, as the local epidemiology is still essential.⁷ In South Asia, the burden is clinically relevant because limitations in microbiological facilities, empirical antibiotic use, and increasing antimicrobial resistance may complicate diagnosis and treatment. In rural Bangladesh, a population-based study of 4,242 pregnant women reported an overall UTI prevalence of 8.9%, comprising 4.4% symptomatic UTI and 4.5% asymptomatic bacteriuria.⁸ In neighbouring Pakistan, multidrug-resistant uropathogens have been documented among pregnant women, while studies from Ethiopia and Cameroon have reported substantial culture-confirmed infection rates, illustrating pronounced setting-specific variation.^{1-4,9,10}

Clinically, failure to identify and appropriately treat bacteriuria during pregnancy may allow progression to cystitis or pyelonephritis and has been associated with adverse obstetric outcomes. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis, UTI during pregnancy was associated with almost twice the odds of preterm birth, with a pooled odds ratio of 1.92.¹¹ Accordingly, evidence synthesized in systematic reviews suggests that antimicrobial treatment of bacteriuria reduces maternal pyelonephritis and may also lower the risks of preterm delivery and low birth weight, although the certainty of some outcome estimates remains limited¹². Therefore, timely confirmation of infection has implications for both maternal and fetal health. For diagnosis, urine culture remains the reference standard, yet cost, laboratory availability, and reporting delays may restrict routine use in resource-constrained settings.^{4,8} Although dipstick detection of leukocyte esterase and nitrite provides a rapid alternative, recent pregnancy-specific evidence has shown imperfect diagnostic performance,

indicating that dipstick findings should be interpreted cautiously against culture results.^{4,13} Meanwhile, *Escherichia coli* is consistently the most common uropathogen, with different susceptibility patterns across regions. Significantly, resistance to common antibiotics is reported in many cases.^{1-4,10} Against this background, locally generated evidence from symptomatic pregnant women in tertiary-care hospitals in Bangladesh remains important, particularly evidence integrating clinical presentation, dipstick findings, urine culture yield, causative organisms, and antimicrobial susceptibility. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the clinical presentation and culture yield of UTI among symptomatic pregnant women, while also describing isolated organisms, dipstick findings, and antibiotic susceptibility patterns.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College Hospital, Bogura, Bangladesh, from January 2024 to December 2024. During the study period, pregnant women attending the department with clinical features suggestive of urinary tract infection (UTI) were considered for enrollment. Using a non-probability purposive sampling approach, eligible participants were enrolled consecutively according to their availability. A total of 105 symptomatic pregnant women were included.

For eligibility, pregnant women presenting with one or more urinary or associated symptoms, including dysuria or burning sensation during urination, increased frequency of micturition, loin pain, fever, nausea, vomiting, or non-specific lower abdominal pain, were included. Pregnant women without urinary symptoms, those experiencing vaginal bleeding or spontaneous rupture of membranes because of the possibility of urine-sample contamination, catheterized patients, and those receiving antibiotics at the time of assessment were excluded.

For each participant, information was collected using a

structured data-collection sheet. After explaining the study purpose and procedures, informed written consent was obtained before enrollment. Through face-to-face interviews, clinical examination, observation, review of medical and obstetric history, and relevant laboratory investigations, demographic, obstetric, clinical, and medical-history data were recorded. Urine specimens were collected from the selected participants and evaluated using relevant urinary investigations, including dipstick testing and urine culture. For culture-positive specimens, the isolated organisms were identified, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed against the selected antibiotics.

After collecting the data, we checked it for completeness and consistency, edited it, coded it, and entered it into a computer for analysis. We used Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), to perform descriptive and inferential statistical analyses. We summarized categorical variables as frequencies and percentages, while we expressed continuous variables as mean $\pm$ standard deviation and range, when applicable. To assess the link between dipstick findings and urine culture results, we applied Fisher's exact test, with a p-value <0.05 considered statistically significant. Before starting, the study protocol received institutional approval. Throughout the study, we maintained confidentiality, ensured voluntary participation, and respected participants' right to withdraw.

Results

Among the 105 symptomatic pregnant women included in the study, 92 (87.6%) were younger than 25 years, whereas 13 (12.4%) were older than 25 years. Regarding obstetric characteristics, the mean gravidity was 1.64 ± 0.85 , with a range of 1–3, while the mean gestational age was 24.67 ± 4.79 weeks, ranging from 16 to 35 weeks.

Table I. Demographic and obstetric characteristics of the study participants (N=105)

Variables	Categories/measurements	Total
Age	<25 years	92 (87.6)
	>25 years	13 (12.4)
Gravidity	Mean $\pm$ SD	1.64 ± 0.85
	Range	1–3
Gestational age (weeks)	Mean $\pm$ SD	24.67 ± 4.79
	Range	16–35




Figure 1. Distribution of the respondents by medical history

Regarding previous medical conditions, among the 15 women with a recorded medical history, hypertension was reported in 12 (80.0%), a previous history of UTI in 6 (40.0%), and diabetes mellitus in 2 (13.3%).

With respect to clinical presentation, frequency of urination was the most frequently reported symptom, occurring in 103 (98.1%) participants, followed by nausea in 101 (96.2%), burning sensation during urination in 75 (71.4%), and vomiting in 72 (68.6%). Lower abdominal pain was reported by 39 (37.1%) women. Fever and loin pain were comparatively uncommon, occurring in 8 (7.6%) and 2 (1.9%) participants, respectively.

Table II. Clinical presentation of symptomatic pregnant women with suspected UTI (N=105)

Presenting complaints	n (%)
Frequency of urination	103 (98.1)
Nausea	101 (96.2)
Burning sensation	75 (71.4)
Vomiting	72 (68.6)
Pain in lower abdomen	39 (37.1)
Fever	8 (7.6)
Loin pain	2 (1.9)

Among the 55 participants reported to have individual dipstick findings, leukocyte esterase positivity was observed in 37 (67.2%), while protein was detected in 36 (65.5%). Blood was detected in 15 (27.3%) samples, whereas nitrite positivity was identified in 8 (14.5%). Concurrent positivity for both nitrite and leukocyte esterase was found in 8 (14.5%) samples.

Table III. Urine dipstick findings among the study participants (n=55)

Dipstick findings	Positive, n (%)
Leukocyte esterase	37 (67.2)
Protein	36 (65.5)
Blood	15 (27.3)
Nitrite	8 (14.5)
Both nitrite and leukocyte esterase	8 (14.5)

On urine culture, bacterial growth was detected in 7 of the 105 participants, giving an overall culture yield of 6.7%, while 98 (93.3%) specimens showed no growth. Among all seven culture-positive specimens, *Escherichia coli* was isolated, accounting for 100% of the culture-confirmed infections.

Table IV. Urine culture yield and isolated organisms among symptomatic pregnant women (N=105)

Variables	Categories	n (%)
Urine culture result	Growth	7 (6.7)
	No growth	98 (93.3)
Organism isolated among culture-positive cases (n=7)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	7 (100.0)

When dipstick results were compared with urine culture, 6 of the 7 culture-positive participants had a positive dipstick result, whereas only 2 of the 98 culture-negative participants were dipstick positive. Conversely, one culture-positive participant had a negative dipstick result. A statistically significant association was identified between dipstick positivity and culture-confirmed UTI (Fisher's exact test, $p < 0.001$).

Table V. Association between dipstick result and urine culture findings (N=105)

Dipstick result	Culture positive	Culture negative	Total	p-value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Positive	6 (85.7)	2 (2.0)	8 (7.6)	<0.001
Negative	1 (14.3)	96 (98.0)	97 (92.4)	
Total	7 (100.0)	98 (100.0)	105 (100.0)	

Regarding antimicrobial susceptibility among the seven *E. coli* isolates, all 7 (100.0%) were sensitive to nitrofurantoin. Sensitivity to cephalexin was observed in 5 (71.4%) isolates, while 2 (28.6%) were resistant. In contrast, only 1 (14.3%) isolate was sensitive to amoxicillin, while 4 (57.1%) were resistant and 2 (28.6%) showed intermediate sensitivity.

Table VI. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of culture-positive *Escherichia coli* isolates (n=7)

Antibiotic	Sensitive	Resistant	Moderately sensitive
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Amoxicillin	1 (14.3)	4 (57.1)	2 (28.6)
Cephalexin	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)	0 (0.0)
Nitrofurantoin	7 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

Discussion

In this study of 105 symptomatic pregnant women, the principal findings were a relatively low urine-culture positivity of 6.7%, universal isolation of *Escherichia coli* among culture-positive cases, a strong association between dipstick positivity and culture-confirmed infection, and marked differences in antimicrobial

susceptibility. While 98.1% complained of urinary frequency and 71.4% about burning during urination, only seven women were found to have a UTI, which suggests that symptoms alone are not very specific in pregnancy. This discordance could be biologically possible as urinary frequency, lower abdominal discomfort, nausea and vomiting can also be physiological symptoms of pregnancy. In terms of culture positivity, this was 6.7% compared to 8.9% of 4034 pregnant women in rural Bangladesh who were culture positive.⁸ Much more have been reported in other parts of the world. Culture-confirmed UTI was found to be 18.7% of pregnant women in Ambo, 26.0% among pregnant women in Goba and Sinana and 14.1% of pregnant women at public facilities in Harar in Ethiopia.^{3,10,14} Asymptomatic bacteriuria has also been found to be high and varies considerably from one geographical area to another, such as 19.9% in Harar, 17.4% in India and 13.5% in an Indian meta-analysis.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The lower culture yield in this cohort may be the result of selection of cases, differences in bacterial-count thresholds, specimen handling, documented prior antimicrobial exposure, population differences or the high proportion of pregnancy-related symptoms that mimic UTI.

Concerning clinical manifestations, urinary frequency was reported by 98.1%, burning sensation by 71.4%, lower abdominal pain by 37.1%, fever by 7.6%, and loin pain by only 1.9%. In contrast, Dube et al. reported lower abdominal pain in 85%, dysuria in 48%, and fever in 48% among symptomatic women, while only 6.7% of women with lower abdominal pain and urinary frequency had culture-positive infection.¹⁸ This supports the present observation that common urinary complaints cannot reliably establish bacterial UTI. Accordingly, careful laboratory confirmation is particularly relevant in pregnancy, when unnecessary antimicrobial exposure should be avoided.

With respect to dipstick findings, leukocyte esterase was positive in 67.2% of the 55 individually assessed samples, while nitrite positivity was much lower at 14.5%. More importantly, 85.7% of culture-positive

women had a positive overall dipstick result, compared with only 2.0% of culture-negative women, producing a significant association, $p < 0.001$. Recent evidence nevertheless cautions against replacing culture with dipstick testing. In a pregnancy-specific cohort, Werter et al. demonstrated imperfect diagnostic accuracy of leukocyte esterase and nitrite and emphasized the risk of both overdiagnosis and missed infection.¹³ Therefore, the strong association observed here supports dipsticks as useful rapid screening tools, but not as substitutes for urine culture where microbiological facilities are available.

Regarding bacterial etiology, *E. coli* accounted for 100% of the seven isolates. Although this proportion is higher than most reports, the predominance of *E. coli* is highly consistent with existing evidence. Among Bangladeshi pregnant women, Lee et al. identified *E. coli* in 38% of isolates, while rates of 46.4% were reported in Ambo and 27.3% in southeastern Ethiopia.^{3,8,14} Furthermore, a meta-analysis from Iran estimated *E. coli* in 61.6% of pregnancy-associated UTIs, whereas studies from Addis Ababa and eastern Ethiopia also identified Gram-negative enteric organisms as major uropathogens.^{7,19,20} The 100% proportion here should nevertheless be interpreted cautiously because only seven cultures were positive.

Regarding antimicrobial susceptibility, nitrofurantoin showed 100% activity against the *E. coli* isolates, compared with 71.4% sensitivity to cephalexin and only 14.3% to amoxicillin. This pattern closely resembles Bangladeshi evidence showing generally high nitrofurantoin activity but substantially poorer susceptibility to older β -lactams.⁸ Likewise, high resistance to amoxicillin or ampicillin has been reported in Ethiopia, Pakistan, and across developing countries in a systematic review.^{6,9,10,15} Current international guideline reviews also identify nitrofurantoin as an important treatment option for lower UTI during pregnancy, while emphasizing culture-guided selection whenever possible.²¹

Clinically, these findings support obtaining urine culture in symptomatic pregnant women rather than

relying solely on nonspecific symptoms. Empirical amoxicillin may be unreliable where resistance resembles that observed here, whereas nitrofurantoin appears microbiologically promising for appropriate lower UTIs, subject to gestational age, clinical condition, contraindications, and contemporary susceptibility data. This is important because pregnancy-associated UTI is not benign; a 2024 meta-analysis found that maternal UTI was associated with an approximately 1.92-fold higher odds of preterm birth.¹¹ Given the small number of isolates and the historical study period, however, the susceptibility findings should not be interpreted as current prescribing guidance. Rather, they demonstrate the continuing need for local antimicrobial surveillance, culture-based diagnosis, and periodically updated treatment protocols for pregnant women in Bangladesh.

Conclusion

Urinary symptoms were extremely common among pregnant women who were suspected of having a UTI, but only 6.7% of these women actually had a culture-positive UTI, suggesting that urinary symptoms do not always indicate a UTI. *E. coli* was the only uropathogen isolated and dipstick positivity was highly associated with culture confirmed UTI. Among all drugs, nitrofurantoin was the most sensitive to microbial activity and amoxicillin had a significant degree of resistance. The results of the study agree with using urine culture and sensitivity test for proper diagnosis and selection of appropriate antibiotics in the treatment of symptomatic pregnant women to prevent unnecessary treatment and overuse of antibiotics, thus reducing the chances of developing antimicrobial resistance.

Recommendations

Culture and antimicrobial sensitivity testing of urine should be recommended in symptomatic pregnant women to help determine an accurate diagnosis and a proper antibiotic choice. Rapid screening can be

carried out using dipsticks, and empirical use of antibiotics should take local resistance patterns into account. Frequent antimicrobial surveillance and more multi-centre studies are suggested.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College, Bogura.

References

1. de Souza HD, Diório GR, Peres SV, Francisco RP, Galletta MA. Bacterial profile and prevalence of urinary tract infections in pregnant women in Latin America: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2023 Nov 8;23(1):774.
2. Derese B, Kedir H, Teklemariam Z, Weldegebreal F, Balakrishnan S. Bacterial profile of urinary tract infection and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending at Antenatal Clinic in DilChora Referral Hospital, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia. *Therapeutics and clinical risk management*. 2016 Feb 18:251-60.
3. Taye S, Getachew M, Desalegn Z, Biratu A, Mubashir K. Bacterial profile, antibiotic susceptibility pattern and associated factors among pregnant women with Urinary Tract Infection in Goba and Sinana Woredas, Bale Zone, Southeast Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*. 2018 Nov 8;11(1):799.
4. Ngong IN, Fru-Cho J, Yung MA, Akoachere JF. Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern and associated risk factors for urinary tract infections in pregnant women attending ANC in some integrated health centers in the Buea Health District. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2021 Oct 4;21(1):673.

5. Yang X, Chen H, Zheng Y, Qu S, Wang H, Yi F. Disease burden and long-term trends of urinary tract infections: A worldwide report. *Frontiers in public health*. 2022 Jul 27;10:888205.
6. Belete MA, Saravanan M. A systematic review on drug resistant urinary tract infection among pregnant women in developing countries in Africa and Asia; 2005–2016. *Infection and drug resistance*. 2020 May 18;1465-77.
7. Azami M, Jaafari Z, Masoumi M, Shohani M, Badfar G, Mahmudi L, Abbasalizadeh S. The etiology and prevalence of urinary tract infection and asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women in Iran: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *BMC urology*. 2019 May 30;19(1):43.
8. Lee AC, Mullany LC, Koffi AK, Rafiqullah I, Khanam R, Folger LV, Rahman M, Mitra DK, Labrique A, Christian P, Uddin J. Urinary tract infections in pregnancy in a rural population of Bangladesh: population-based prevalence, risk factors, etiology, and antibiotic resistance. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2019 Dec 31;20(1):1.
9. Asmat U, Mumtaz MZ, Malik A. Rising prevalence of multidrug-resistant uropathogenic bacteria from urinary tract infections in pregnant women. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*. 2021 Feb 1;16(1):102-11.
10. Abate D, Marami D, Letta S. Prevalence, Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern, and Associated Factors of Urinary Tract Infections among Pregnant and Nonpregnant Women at Public Health Facilities, Harar, Eastern Ethiopia: A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*. 2020;2020(1):9356865.
11. Wang E, Tang P, Chen C. Urinary tract infections and risk of preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo*. 2024 Sep 6;66:e54.
12. Wingert A, Pillay J, Sebastianski M, Gates M, Featherstone R, Shave K, Vandermeer B, Hartling L. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: systematic reviews of screening and treatment effectiveness and patient preferences. *BMJ open*. 2019 Mar;9(3):e021347.
13. Werter DE, Schneeberger C, Geerlings SE, de Groot CJ, Pajkrt E, Kazemier BM. Diagnostic Accuracy of Urine Dipsticks for Urinary Tract Infection Diagnosis during Pregnancy: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Antibiotics*. 2024 Jun 19;13(6):567.
14. Gessese YA, Damessa DL, Amare MM, Bahta YH, Shifera AD, Tasew FS, Gebremedhin EZ. Urinary pathogenic bacterial profile, antibiogram of isolates and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Ambo town, Central Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*. 2017 Dec 29;6(1):132.
15. Edae M, Teklemariam Z, Weldegebreal F, Abate D. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending antenatal care at HiwotFana specialized university hospital, Harar, eastern Ethiopia: magnitude, associated factors, and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern. *International journal of microbiology*. 2020;2020(1):1763931.
16. Agarwal A, Pandey S, Maheshwari U, Singh MP, Srivastava J, Bose S. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and antimicrobial resistance profile among pregnant females in a tertiary care hospital. *Indian journal of community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social Medicine*. 2021 Oct 13;46(3):469.
17. Khapre M, Sharma D, Mehta A, Sinha S. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in pregnant women in India: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Indian journal of community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social Medicine*. 2023 Dec 1;48(6):879.

18. Dube R, Al-Zuheiri ST, Syed M, Harilal L, Zuhaira DA, Kar SS. Prevalence, clinico-bacteriological profile, and antibiotic resistance of symptomatic urinary tract infections in pregnant women. *Antibiotics*. 2022 Dec 25;12 (1):33.
19. Bizuwork K, Alemayehu H, Medhin G, Amogne W, Eguale T. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: prevalence, causal agents, and their antimicrobial susceptibility. *International Journal of Microbiology*. 2021 ;2021(1):8418043.
20. Ejerssa AW, Gadisa DA, Orjino TA. Prevalence of bacterial uropathogens and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns among pregnant women in Eastern Ethiopia: hospital-based cross-sectional study. *BMC women's health*. 2021 Aug 7; 21(1):291.
21. Corrales M, Corrales-Acosta E, Corrales-Riveros JG. Which antibiotic for urinary tract infections in pregnancy? A literature review of international guidelines. *Journal of clinical medicine*. 2022 Dec 5;11(23):7226.